

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/072,353	02/07/2002	James H. Buchanan	131105.1004	4267
32914	7590 11/21/2006	EXAMINER		
GARDERE WYNNE SEWELL LLP			WILSON, ROBERT W	
	INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY SECTION 3000 THANKSGIVING TOWER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1601 ELM ST				
DALLAS, TX	75201-4761		DATE MAILED: 11/21/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

5K

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Interview Summary	10/072,353	BUCHANAN ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Robert W. Wilson	2616			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):					
(1) Robert W. Wilson.	(3)				
(2) Marc Hubbard.	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>16 November 2006</u> .					
/pe: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)⊡ applicant's representative]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.				
Claim(s) discussed: 1.					
Identification of prior art discussed: Chapters 1 & 3 of Cisco Document 78-10548-02.					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)					
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.					
		. •			
	·				
	•				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Robert	W. W. Som			
Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signa	ature, if required			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

## **Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413)**

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative and the examiner disagreed on the interpretation of "automatically generating at leaste one route distribution rule". The examiner interpreted it to mean that the configuration provisioning software provisions rues into each router and those rules define the relationship between the sites and that these provisioned rules constraint the routes distirbutioned between the router ". Applicant's representative stated that the routers automatically created a new rule. The examiner suggested that the applicant's representative discussed possible amendments to the claim which would overcome the prior art and did not add new matter.